Numerical study of the periodic Anderson model with a quarter-filled conduction band

Shuxiang Yang

Feb. 15, 2015

Collaborators:

Juana Moreno Mark Jarrell





# Outline

- Model
- Periodic Anderson model
- Relations with the Kondo lattice model and Hubbard model
- Numerical methods:
  - Dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT)
  - Dynamical cluster approximation (DCA)
  - Dual fermion method (DF)
- Numerical results for 2D and 3D lattice
  - Bench-mark DF with DMFT and DCA results
  - Phase-diagrams
  - Origin of the CDW ordering
- Conclusion

# Model

#### • Periodic Anderson model:

 $\hat{H}$ 

$$= -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle,\sigma} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + \hat{c}_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}) + V \sum_{i\sigma} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{i\sigma} + \hat{f}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}) + \epsilon_f \sum_{i\sigma} \hat{f}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{f}_{i\sigma} + U \sum_{i} \hat{n}_{f,i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{f,i\downarrow}$$



- Two-band model
- Conduction band: c-eletron can hop, no interaction
- Localized band: f-electron cannot hop, Coulomb interaction
- Hybrid through V term

#### Model



U=0



#### Related to other models

• Kondo lattice model (freezing out f-electron charge fluctuations):

$$U \gg t, V \quad n_{f\uparrow} + n_{f\downarrow} = 1$$

$$\hat{H} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + h.c.) + J \sum_{i} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{i} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i}$$

• Hubbard model (integrating out c-electron formally):

$$S[f^*, f] = -\sum_{k\omega\sigma} f^*_{\omega k\sigma} G_0(k, i\omega)^{-1} f_{\omega k\sigma} + U \sum_i \int_0^\beta d\tau \, n_{f,i\uparrow}(\tau) n_{f,i\downarrow}(\tau)$$

$$G_0(k, i\omega)^{-1} = i\omega + \mu - \epsilon_f - \sum_k \frac{V^2}{i\omega + \mu - \epsilon_k}$$

Adapting action-based codes from Hubbard model to PAM is easy!

#### Numerical methods -- I

#### • Dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT)



A. Georges, et.al., RMP 68, 13 (1996)

- **Exact** in infinite dimension, **approximate** for finite dimensions
- Local approximation
- A great success. Best for the local physics, e.g. Mott physics
- Missing non-local physics, e.g. pseudo-gap, d-wave superconductivity 6
   Many attempts to improve DMFT

## Numerical methods -- II

#### • Dynamical cluster approximation (DCA)



Th. Maier, et.al., RMP. 77, 1027 (2005)

- One of the several proposals to go beyond single-site DMFT description similar proposal: cellular-DMFT
- Short-ranged correlations included
- Capture non-local physics, e.g. pseudo-gap, d-wave superconductivity 7

#### Numerical methods -- III

#### • Dual fermion method

A. N. Rubtsov et al., PRB 77, 33101 (2008)



#### DF mapping:

 $G_{d0} = G_{lat} - G_{imp}$  $V \approx F$ 

CT-QMC **Real fermion** (strongly-correlated)

**Dual fermion** (weakly-correlated)

Second-order perturbation

- One of the several proposals to expand on DMFT solution
- Similar to small cluster DCA results

# Numerical methods -- IV

- DMFT
- Fast and no minus-sign problem for PAM
- Quick scan of the parameter space
- DCA
- Expensive and has the minus problem
- Check if short-ranged correlations change physics extracted from DMFT
- Bench-mark DF results
- DF
- No minus-sign, more expensive than DMFT, but less expensive than DCA
- Used when sign problem in DCA is bad and thus DCA is too expensive

## Results

• Bench-mark

- Compare DF results against DMFT and DF results

- Phase-diagram for 2D and 3D lattice
   Assume no symmetry broken in the system
   Divergence of the susceptibility signals phase-transition
- Origin of the CDW (q=(pi,pi)) ordering

## Comparison of the DCA and DF results



• For both c-band and f-band, DF can capture some essential short ranged correlations as the DCA calculation.

#### 2D case

Assume no symmetry broken in the system

Divergence of the susceptibility signals phase-transition



- Two phase-transitions, ferro-magnetic (FM) and charge-density-wave (CDW, q=(pi,pi))
- CDW Tc is higher than FM Tc



Off-quarter-filling



• Going either below or above quarter-filling, only FM diverges

#### 2D phase-diagram



- FM Tc descreases when increasing c-electron filling
- CDW Tc has a dome shape around quarter-filling

## 2D phase-diagram



- FM ordering is reduced when short-range correlations are included
- CDW dome persists for DCA-8A results, but with reduced size

## 3D phase-diagram



- 3D lattice shares similar phase-diagram as 2D
- Non-local correlations perserve CDW dome
- Origin??









- Divergence of susceptibility happens when the Fermi surface touchs on the magnetic zone boundary: perfect nesting
- Scattering is enhanced at q=(pi,pi) due to perfect nesting <sup>20</sup>



- The Fermi surface changes its topology from particle-like to hole-like at the transition and thus is a **Lifshitz transition**.
- Here the control parameter is the c-band filling

#### Hybridization as the control parameter





## Conclusion

- Using DMFT, DCA and DF, we have determined the phasediagram of periodic Anderson model with f-band at half-filling and c-band around quarter-filling for both 2D and 3D lattices.
- A dome-shape CDW phase surounding quarter-filling is found and persists when non-local correlations are incuded through DCA and DF.
- The CDW ordering is explained by the perfect nesting of the Fermi-surface of the renormalized lower-band.
- The Fermi surface changes its topology from particle-like to hole-like at the transition and thus is a Lifshitz transition.
- A critical V is found where CDW susceptibility lines collapse on a single curve.

Thank you!